## 1. Accessing applications that have been assigned to you for review

As a reviewer, when you enter the REMS portal make sure you select	Research Ethics Management System - TST Human Research Ethics Approval							
"Reviewer" to display the applications assigned to you for review.	Start Ethics Application Process	his will launch the roportional Review Checklist hich will determine whether ou need to submit an ethics pplication.	Resources	This will open the wet that provides informa about completing you application.	o site tion ur	-		
Click on the "REMS No" to	Researcher Re	Project Title	Review Due	Review Received	Lead	Project Status	Review Status	
review the application.	2018-00454-DOETST 2018-00453-WATERSTST	NR check	14/10/2019	14/10/2019	res	Approved Returned to Resear	status I - Approved	
	2010-0030J-MERCURT	caught in a landslide, no escape from r eality. Open your eyes, look up to the s ky and see I'm just a poor boy, I nee d no sympathy	10/07/2019	14/10/2019		Under Kevlew		Ц

## 2. Reviewing the application

HREC - Full ReviewApplication - TST Human Research Ethics Approval	Is this the real life? Is this just fantasy? caught in a landslide, no escape from reality. Open your eyes, look up to the sky and see I'm just a poor boy, I need no sympathy	Here you will see the names of all reviewers.
REMS No: 2018-00300-MERCURY	Chief Investigator: (Staff Project)	Please contact the other
Ω         Qualifications         Element 1         Element 2         Element 3         Element 4         Element 5           Information about reviewing this application           Please navigate through each of the tabs and where you have a comment or question for the research to please click on the plus button next to the box in which your comment or question relates as indicated           Please use the comment boxes to record any notes relating to the project including specific feedback of strengths and weakness of the application that you would like a response to (if any) and approval or your feedback will be provided directly to the research team. Therefore, please ensure your feedback is consistent with the National Statement, constructive and in line with the recommendation you have made	5, 6 and 7 Risk EDP	reviewer if you wish to discuss the application to ensure a consistent response to the researcher.
Its approval or further review. Upon completing your review please indicate the review status you would like to allocate to this applica Please note your review will not be submitted until you have updated your status. The four review statuses available to you as a reviewer are: Status 1: Approved Status 2 Minor amendments Status 4: Not approved	tion.	If you are the lead reviewer, you will have an " * " next to your name.
Project Status: Under Review	Save Submit	

## 3. Adding comments

am     ▲       e never around. Those which you are best. So, nat pigeon, stop that     B	Image: Second	
Once you start typing into the comm the "save" button will appear, you'll click "save" to save your comment.	A the moment this detail is enough to complete the work. If required later on i will add some more detail. (Kiera Leanne RAMSAY - 25/10/2019) This part need more detailed answers (Reviewer 1 - 25/10/2019) Cuestions to be asked are: what ar the different kinds of research? what can i achieve by doing	REVIEW STATUS Woongyeol CHOI Status 2 - Minor amendments
Upon the re-review, where a researcher has made changes or responded to comments, a green symbol will appear on the relevant tab, and the comment icon will be green.	so? when should i use this method if research over another? how many types of research are there? Benefit of exploring these resear h questions To help people get an understanding of how research works, when to use it, how, and what types there are. Besearch also depends on how many people are in a team.	

To add a comment, click on the "+" symbol and



## 5. Lead reviewer to finalise the review



Any questions, please contact the Research Ethics Team: research.ethics@ecu.edu.au or call on 08 6304 2170