

Edith Cowan University & University of Portsmouth Joint PhD Scholarship Scheme **Expression of interest Guidelines**

1.0 **Overview**

Edith Cowan University (ECU) offers candidates the opportunity to undertake their doctoral studies in partnership with the University of Portsmouth (UoP) in the ECU-UoP Joint PhD Program. This ECU-UoP Joint PhD program allows prospective candidates to benefit from the world-class research expertise, infrastructure and other research support provided by both institutions, while also engaging in an exciting and rewarding international research experience.

In support of this program both ECU and UoP will independently offer scholarships to candidates. This document provides guidelines to assist in the allocation of scholarships awarded to candidates where ECU is the Home institution. The Home institution refers to the institution that the candidate is first affiliated with and commences the degree with.

Scholarship contributions will be outlined within the ECU-UoP Joint PhD Candidate Agreement established upon finalisation of the candidate's enrolment. However, briefly:

- Stipend scholarships will be awarded to PhD candidates at a minimum of \$35,000 per annum for 3 years (or part time equivalent). Candidates may be eligible to apply for a 6-month extension to the stipend as per ECU's Conditions of Award. ECU contributions to the stipend scholarships will not be extended beyond 3.5 years (or part time equivalent) under any circumstances.
- Tuition fee support will be provided, by the relevant enrolling School, to candidates for a maximum of 4 years as per ECU's Conditions of Award.
- Where an international candidate is the recipient of an ECU-UoP Joint PhD scholarship, ECU may cover other relevant fees and costs relating to the candidate commencing their PhD project, such as VISAs, and single overseas health cover, as appropriate.
- Variations to candidature, such as withdrawal, intermitting, parental leave etc., will affect the ECU-UoP Joint PhD Candidate Agreement. These variations must be in accordance with ECU's candidature policies and the ECU-UoP Joint PhD Candidate Agreement. Variations to the ECU-UoP Joint PhD Candidate Agreement may be required with approval from all relevant parties. Whilst variations may extend the time period over which the scholarship is paid it will not extend the cumulative periods of scholarship payments 3.5 years (or part time equivalent).

Please note that a Principal Supervisor can only be supervising a maximum of two (2) ECU-UoP Joint PhD scholarships at any one time.



2.0 **Timeline**

The ECU-UoP Joint PhD Scholarships involves two separate stages:

Stage 1: Supervisor/Project Scholarship Expression of Interest

Stage 2: Candidate/Awardee Selection

Proposed dates for the upcoming scholarship round are provided below (dates subject to change):

Stage	Process	Responsibility	Dates
1	HDR Scholarship Project EOI application opens	Supervisor to complete	23/09/2025
	HDR Scholarship Project EOI application closes	Supervisor to complete	23/10/2025
	Schools to endorse EOIs and confirm supervisor compliance. EOI submissions/projects reviewed and ranked	Associate Dean (Research)/ University Scholarship Committee	23/10/2025- 31/10/2025
2	Approved projects advertised and application portal opened	Scholarships office/ Candidates apply	04/11/2025
	Approved projects promoted by Supervisors	Supervisor	04/11/2025
	Applications closed	Candidates	04/01/2026
	Scholarships office collates applications and sends to Schools	Scholarships office	08/01/2026
	School Scholarship Committee review and rank	School Scholarship	08/01/2026-
	candidate applications.	Committee	16/01/2026
	Students informed of outcome	Scholarships office	30/01//2026
	Maximum date to accept offer	Candidate	09/02/2026
	Maximum date to have commenced scholarship	Candidate	01/09/2026*

^{*}if the student doesn't enrol by this date the scholarship will be rescinded.

3.0 **Procedures**

Process and details of each stage are outlined below:

The total number of scholarships available each year is one (1) PhD scholarship will be available each year from each host university for five years, starting in 2026.

3.1 Stage 1: Supervisor/Project Scholarship Expression of Interest

Potential Principal Supervisors are required to complete an Expression of Interest (EOI) for the ECU-UoP Joint PhD Scholarship Scheme and email to grs@ecu.edu.au.

Expressions of Interest may not be assessed by experts in the applicant's field of expertise and therefore Supervisors need to ensure that the proposal can be understood by a broad audience. The application should contain all the information necessary for an assessment of the project without the need for further information or explanation. Additional documentation must not be submitted,



unless specified in the Guidelines or Expression of Interest form. Any EOIs that do not fully comply with the eligibility criteria and the guidelines provided in this document will be deemed ineligible. This includes strict adherence to word limits and submission date. Ineligible EOIs will not be considered by the Assessment Panel. Late applications will not be accepted.

3.1.1 EOI Assessment

The ECU School Scholarship Committee will assess Expression of Interests using a scoring framework. Submitted EOIs will be assessed across three separate areas, including research quality and significance, alignment with Supervisor, research group and university research priorities and experience and capability of the supervision panel. Discretion will be used by the Panel to ensure that the points allocated and ranking of applicants truly reflects the merit of each EOI.

3.1.2 Experience and Capability of Supervisory Panel (40%)

Supervision panels must have appropriate expertise and capacity necessary to support the HDR candidate and achieve the project aims. All allocated ECU members of the supervision panel must be compliant to supervise on the ECU supervisor register. At least one of the nominated supervisors should have a strong research supervision track record, including evidence of successful completions. The principal supervisor must be able to demonstrate their ability to lead the research project. The University is committed to reducing inequality in academia through equal opportunity and professional development. The experience, capability and suitability of the supervision team members will be assessed relative to opportunity. Supervisors should provide details on any career disruptions, potential barriers or other considerations that may have influenced their supervision and research experience.

Considerations will be given to the:

- HDR and research experience (i.e. track record) of nominated supervisors;
- student load and progression of current candidates;
- research and academic success of prior candidates/graduates;
- workload and supervision capacity of proposed supervisors;
- composition of the supervisory team including considerations of equity and the mentorship of Early to Mid-Career Researchers.

3.1.3 Alignment with the Supervisor, Research Group and ECU and UoP Research Priorities (30%)

Expression of Interest will be assessed to determine alignment with the track record of proposed Supervisors, ECU and UoP research priorities and other strategic considerations supporting research activities across the School. In preparing the EOIs candidates should consider how the proposed research aligns with:

areas of focus or growth within the School or University;



the strengths and experience of the nominated supervisory team.

3.1.4 Research Excellence, Quality and Significance (30%)

Supervisors should provide a brief overview of the aims, significance and expected outcomes of the proposed research to be undertaken by the HDR candidate. Provide enough background information for the Assessor Panel to understands the research being proposed. Provide details on how the proposed project may align to larger research activities/projects, if relevant. It is important to discuss the potential engagement and impact of the research. This may include broader engagement and impact for the project or specifically for the successful HDR candidate.

The overview provided should not be discussion or uncritical compendium or list of current research (papers and funded projects) but a justification for the proposed research project. Present gaps/discrepancies in knowledge and how the proposed research will address these.

3.2 **Stage 2: HDR Candidate Applications**

Successful EOIs in Stage 1 will be advertised to potential HDR applicants. Applicants will be required to select the proposed project when applying to the scholarship application portal. Applicants will be encouraged to discuss the proposed project and supervision with the potential supervisors prior to applying.

3.2.1 Candidate Eligibility

- Candidates must be enrolled in the Joint Doctor of Philosophy with ECU as the home institution (applicants with ECU as the host can apply through the UoP);
- Candidates may be domestic or international;
- Candidates must commence the degree on-campus at ECU and spend a minimum of 12 months during the PhD at each institution;
- Candidates must have supervisors employed at both ECU and UoP;
- Candidates must be enrolled full-time at ECU. Part-time enrolment (50% FTE only) may be approved for domestic candidates only:
 - o if they are engaged in employment activities that are complementary to their research
 - where such employment activities are assessed as unlikely to impact PhD progress; and,
 - o where this is stipulated in the agreement with the UoP.
- Scholarship/stipend payments will be pro-rata for part-time candidates (i.e., 50%).

3.2.2 HDR Application Assessment

HDR candidate scholarship applications will be assessed by the School Scholarship Committee based upon a 100-point scale and across three separate areas, including academic merit,



research and professional experience, and supervisor support and endorsement. Discretion should be used by School Scholarship Committees to ensure that the points allocated and ranking of applicants truly reflects the merit of each application.

Assessment area	Maximum points		
Academic Merit	40		
Relevant Research and Professional Experience	30		
Alignment with the Proposed Research Project	30		

All applicants must meet the admission requirements for entry into an ECU Higher Degree by Research program, including English Language Proficiency, to be awarded a scholarship. Applications for both course admission and the scholarship must be submitted prior to the closing date for this Joint PhD scholarship. In cases where course admission applications have been submitted prior to the scholarship closing date but not assessed or approved prior to scholarship ranking, applications will be considered but applicants will only be provided a conditional scholarship offer, if successful.

Applications will undergo a preliminary review within Student Administration to determine eligibility, prior to being scored, ranked and allocation determined within each relevant School HDR Scholarship Committee. The University is committed to reducing inequality and values diversity in the HDR student cohort. The University may make awards to priority groups of students such as, but not limited to, those who identify as having a disability, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders, or low SES applicants. Applications will be assessed relative to opportunity. Applicants should provide details on any career disruptions, potential barriers or other considerations that may have influence on the scoring of their application.

Academic Merit (40%)

The assessment of academic merit should be based upon the applicants' academic achievements, typically evidenced through completion and success within undergraduate and postgraduate study. Multiple qualifications, awards or other recognition may be considered when assessing academic merit. However, applicants who hold a research doctorate degree (AQF 10) or equivalent may be ineligible for a scholarship and must be assessed at the discretion of the Executive Dean of the relevant School.

Considerations when scoring academic merit include the:

- AQF level (or equivalent level of the award);
- Volume of research conducted within the award;
- Weighted Average Mark (WAM), Grade Point Average (GPA) or equivalent evidence of academic standing (if relevant);
- Awards, examiner reports or other evidence of academic standing.



Table 1. Examples of scoring for Academic Merit relevant to AQF level.

Score	AQF 7	AQF 8	AQF9	AQF 10	Other
0 to 20	Relevant				Prestigious
	undergraduate				academic awards or
	course completion				prizes (i.e. School
	with WAM of 70 or				award, Dean's list)
	above				
20 to 30		Embedded	Masters by Coursework		
		Honours four-	with significant		
		year degree	research component		
		(WAM 80 or	(i.e. six months full time		
		above)*	research project) and		
			WAM of 50 to 70		
30 to 35		Bachelor	Masters by Coursework	First year of	
		Honours	with significant	integrated PhD	
		Degree - 2A	research component	with WAM of 70	
		(WAM 70 or	(i.e. project of at least	or above	
		above)*	six months full time		
			research) and WAM of		
			70 or above		
35 to 40		Bachelor	Masters by Research	PhD in relevant	Enrolled HDR
		Honours	Degrees with at least	research area	candidate on
		Degree - 1A	two-thirds research		'Green' status and
		(WAM 80 or			completed
		above)*			milestone 3.

^{*}Overseas qualification with an 'Honours' result will be compared for equivalency to an Australian Honours degree and may not equate to the point allocation indicated above.

Research and Professional Experience (30%)

Applicants' relevant previous professional and research experience will be assessed. Only experience relevant to the proposed HDR course of study will be considered. Evidence of relevant professional and research experience may include prior employment in relevant professional or research roles, work experience, volunteer work or other activities (e.g. professional standing, leadership roles). Considerations must be given to the duration, extent (i.e. part time vs full time) and nature of past roles and research experience.

The assessment of research experience should be based upon the candidate's prior involvement in research and is typically evidenced through their authorship or involvement in relevant research outputs, including:

- Research Book authored research book published by a recognised national / international commercial publisher with ISBN.
- Book Chapter in an authored research book published by a recognised national / international commercial publisher with ISBN, and edited.



- Journal Article- published in a peer reviewed, scholarly journal, with an ISSN (or ISBN)
- Creative Arts Research Output (CARO) see ECU Guidelines for Creative Arts Research Outputs
- Conference Paper- published in full, peer reviewed, and presented at a conference of national or international significance

Upon application, candidates will be asked to provide up to five of their most significant and relevant research outputs. When allocating scores for research outputs, consideration will be given to the:

- Applicant's contribution to the research output(s)
- Quality of the research output(s)
- Quantity of research output(s)

Alignment (30%)

If the applicant has applied for an advertised project determined in Stage 2 follow A, and if the applicant has not applied for an advertised project follow B:

A. **Applicant's Alignment with Proposed Research Project**

The alignment of the applicants experience with the proposed research projects will be assessed. Applicants should provide details on their suitability for the proposed research project. This may include details on the applicant's interest within the particular field and extent to which the proposed research builds on existing experience. Applicants should outline how the proposed research aligns with their research development and employment goals.

В. Alignment of Proposed Research Project with University Priorities, and Supervisory Capacity and Experience

The quality of the proposed research project and alignment with ECU and the School's research priorities, Strategic Plan and supervisory teams will be assessed. This may include alignment with a University Strategic Research Centre or Institute, a School Research Centre or an emerging research cluster or group that builds on existing research or include opportunities for research engagement with industry or other partners and/or attract income. Assessment of supervisory teams will include the experience, capacity and quality of the proposed supervision team including track record of nominated supervisors, current load and capacity, equity and mentorship considerations.

Queries to Dean, Graduate Research Chris Abbiss (c.abbiss@ecu.edu.au)